

SOCIETAL PARADOXES:"PASSAGES WITH IN A BLACKHOLE"

By

Dejenie Alemayehu Lakew, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Mathematics
Virginia Union University

Imagine for a moment what religion was meant for and what it is doing now.

When you see in the name of soul searching, in the name of looking for the best possible imagined spiritual space somewhere, which is so tranquil, peaceful and faultless pure angelic place of eternity, how could people should first loose their soul, righteousness, humility e.t.c., which are their main tickets of entrance to the heavens here on earth? How could a soulless being expects to be an angel in heaven, just right after his violent and cruel intent death here on earth? If existence should be continuous(which indeed is) but in different forms, then this type of violent way of changing existence is an irremovable infinite discontinuity which justifies the impossibility of martyredome tickets to the heavens.

This is a societal paradox where in old times mathematicians used to create fancy looking arguments, they enjoyed it for a while and after some time they discover that the logic was flawed. Here is one of the famous paradoxes which I always want to tell to my students when I teach about set theory, that not all arbitrary collections will form a set. The paradox is called Russell's paradox, due to the English philosopher and mathematician B. Russell.

Russell' paradox : Consider a collection which is defined as follows: $X = \{x : x \notin x\}$. In words, X is defined as a collection which contains sets which are not contained in themselves.

This definition then says this: an object x will be in X if it is not in x , and x is not in X if it is in x . In short, X is contained in X if and only if X is not contained in X . For this paradoxical but logically correct looking collection, people created many real life counter examples which show indeed the construction was flawed. A practical example for this paradox is : In a certain town all people go to an auto mechanic if only they can not fix their cars by themselves. Then the question is, when will the technician fix his own car? From looking at the hypothesis, the only time the technician will fix his car is when he can not fix his car and this is a paradoxical argument.

It seems to me that some religions and some existing social norms(such as in politics which can be poly tricks in most cases) are full of such paradoxes.

The point that I mentioned above, which is martyrdom in some religions is a best fit of a societal version of Russell's paradox: to be in hell first, in order to go to the heavens. For that reason the human society should restructure itself axiomatically to amend paradoxes that are almost everywhere dense in our existing social structures : religion, politics, economics, humanities, etc, and create very almost closer to correct, sensible systems with no paradoxes in it, where thinking, imagination and reasoning are cherished not only in scientific domains but in all structures of society.

Re-structuring the human society by creating a well designed and well ordered axiomatic structure where all religions and other forms of non-scientific structures can be established in a consistent and complete way so that at no condition that mankind will take part in destruction, killing, inhumane activities for the sake of religion, and with all those moral and ethical axioms stated in the existing manuscripts of religions. In the construction of these systems, there should not be an axiom (or axioms) or a derivative of it which will incite violence, particularly in religions where there is a notion of life in heaven after earthly life. There should not be an : if..., then..., else..., loop of a negative actions at no time in religions. The path to heaven (if exists) should only be through good work, compassion, love for humanity, etc..

Life in heaven has to be an existence(after death) in a different form, but an extension to that of a person's heavenly like exemplary life style here on earth : humane, compassionate, peaceful with a tranquil earthly life, who feels sufferings of others with the same magnitude (if not more) in whatever form, from what ever source, for whatever reason by others, that who does not inflict pain and damage on others intentionally or otherwise, and these are the traits of passengers to the heavens should have. Even airliners demand several months of bookings to make sure our flights are reserved. We can not get a ticket today and get on board and go where we want to go. These types of definitions will immediately remove all other forms of heavenly entrances, in particular the violent ones, the inhumane ones, the non-compassionate ones, which by no means are not extendable to the eternal heavenly spiritual domain of God.

The situations happening in Iraq and some other countries where a single individual loaded with bombs, put himself among mothers, children, fathers, old and innocent ones and explode himself and kill all around him to go to the heaven, are the most paradoxical thinking that mankind ever created or imagined about the heaven and the means to go there. These kinds of definitions of heavens are simply fictional deep space missions where every body has his own destination which he calls it heaven, and this costs mankind collectively a lot here on earth, several civilizations are destroyed and buried, relationships are spoiled and left a scar of suspicions among peoples and countries, and among members of worshipers of different religions. In general the human race is undermined and humanity comes almost at the bottom of the to do list for these deep space mission travelers.

I call these particular people, passengers of a black hole and their way, **"passages with in a black hole"** which is not a worm hole. Some thing in a black hole to escape from it, the path it has to use is a worm hole which takes the object to a new outer domain called a white hole, where there is an extreme of lightness contrary to the black hole. Therefore the wrong path in a black hole will not take things out of it but rather it takes them to another location with in the black hole. I use the black hole here to represent a place which is completely devoid of lightness, where reasoning and logic are not known, where human thinking is completely undermined, and above all sense of humanity is completely wiped out.